



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office 'Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	ГЕ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,694	05/31/200	0	Rosario A. Uceda-Sosa	POU9-2000-0020-US1	4786
7590 12/11/2003			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Blanche E Schiller Esq				WON, YOUNG N	
Heslin & Rothenberg PC 5 Columbia Circle			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Albany, NY 12203			•	2155	10
				DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		PAG				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/583,694	UCEDA-SOSA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Young N Won	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dataset been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenes (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three management.	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on STILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 insign and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	orate area are meaning date or are made	rough, even it among mou, may roughed any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:					
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly				
7. Keep For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-27.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
0.  Other:	, , -					
·						
·						



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim language of directly connecting does not secifically teach or suggest a novel functionality in terms of patentability. The examiner interpreted the "directly connecting" as a act of connecting to a server after a connection parameter has been verified such as authenticating a user prior to connection. The element of "directly connecting" from a client to a server is neither novel nor an invention and one of ordinary skill in the art would also argue that any client/server connection that establishes communication without interruption constitutes directly connecting.

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER